

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/560,699	RONNAU, PER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SON M. TANG	2612	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) SON M. TANG.

(2) Meera P. Narasimhan (40,252).

**Date of Interview:** 9 September 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

2,3 and 5

**Claims discussed:**

N/A

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant authorized the attached Examiner Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner Amendment for detail.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:00PM

/Daniel Wu/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)